### The Introductory Phase of the Marriage Nullity **Process and the Rights of the Parties**

Merlin Ambrose\*

#### Introduction

does it dissolve the bond, rather it declares that the said marriage was non-existent in from the time it was contracted. The declaration of nullity does not annul marriage, not marriage.2 The process of declaration of nullity deals with a marriage which is invalid cases, keeping in mind the salus animarum of the parties, the Church intervenes. By stability. It cannot be broken by spouses or any other authority. However, in some Every marriage, contracted between the baptized, mixed or the non-baptized, enjoys law since the time it had been contracted.3 far, the majority of trials in the Church deals with cases regarding the nullity of

rights of the parties are restricted to the first phase of the process, i.e., introductory/initial phase of the process. This introductory phase, prior to the publishing the sentence or judgement, 5) post-decisional phase which entails the bond, promoter of justice at the direction of the judge, 4) decisional phase, i.e., discussional phase geared towards the discussion between parties and defender of the introductory phase, 2) instructional phase regarding the collection of the evidence, 3) The matrimonial nullity process consists of five distinct phases in the first instance: 1) provisions for the appeal and plaint of nullity. For our study, the reflections on the must place. Three distinct canonical provisions are: 1) the admission or rejection of instruction of the case, is composed of three distinct canonical provisions that the judge libellus, 2) the citation of the parties, 3) litis contestatio (formulation of doubt or joinder

consequences and repercussions for an orderly and an efficacious instruction for The process which begins with the introductory phase of the process has significant

sentence. Therefore, we shall examine those procedural provisions in Canon Law procedural provisions for the exercise of the rights of the parties at this phase are to defend themselves in this introductory phase. which pertain directly and indirectly to the rights of the parties by which they are able violated or denied or even when placed incorrectly tend to affect the validity of the the parties and the judges presenting and framing status questionis. When the discussion and decision arrived at with certitudo moralis. The first moment begins with

### The Right of the Parties vis-à-vis Libellus

principles of the procedural law is, "the judge in a process can apply law only upon the any petition.8 Hence, the libellus relates the plaintiff to the judge and enables him in coram De Angelis rendered a decision irremediably null, as there was an absence of plea, the judgement would be irremediably null. The Rotal decision given in 2010 to can. 1620, 4° (cf. DC art. 270, 4°), if a trial has to take place without such a judicial sine actore; nemo iudex in causa proprio and ne procedat iudex ex officio. 7 According tradition in the canonical procedural law expressed in traditional formula Nemo index either by a person whose interest is involved or by a promoter of justice. The legislator prescribes that a judge cannot investigate any case without a plea, which is drawn up begin the case ex officio. It has to be done as per the law. Can. 1501 (cf. DC art. 114) is formally introduced to a tribunal by way of a petition without which a judge cannot to as a written petition. To be a valid petition it has to have certain elements. 6 A case Libellus in Latin means "a little book" or "formal petition."5 It is commonly referred which follow the presentation of the petition in a nullity trial. Hence, the right to the significance of an introductory libellus by saying that it is the beginning and demand of party." The Rotal judge, Pompedda, in his decision in 1985 emphasized the determination of the controversy, the object of proof. One of the fundamental has set forth in this canon the procedural principle of party's initiative, a long-standing does not strictly concern the right of defence. The right of defence concerns the phases, toundation of any judgement. 10 The right to petition for nullity is a procedural right. It

Fr Merlin Rengith Ambrose, BA (Sociology); BTh; MA (Christian Studies); PG Diploma in Pontifical Institute, Bangalore, India. Cf. E. FRANK, The Dissolution of Marriage Bond in the Discipline of the Church and Its Studium for Postulator for the Causes of Canonization of Saints (Vatican), JCD (Rome) is a Priest of Diocese of Kottar and currently Professor in Centre for Canon Law Studies, St Peter's Canonical Jurisprudence (Rome), Diploma in Canonical Administrative Praxis (Vatican),

Cf. Z. GROCHOLEWSKI, "Problemi attuali dell'attività giudiziaria della Chiesa nelle cause Application, Urbaniana University Press, Vatican City 2017, 14.

Cf. E. FRANK, The Dissolution of Marriage Bond in the Discipline of the Church and Its matrimoniali", in Apollinaris 56 (1983), 147-167.

M.R. AMBROSE, The Right of Desence. An Essential Element in the Marriage Nullity Process, Thesis ad Doctoratum in lure Canonico Consequendum, Pontifical Urban University, Vatican

Cf. L. Conners, Incidental Causes in Judicial Procedure: A Historical Conspectus and a written statement, proposing the object of the controversy and seeking the ministry of the judge Commentary, Catholic University of America, Washington 1971, 120: A libellus is a brief

to be done before a judge who has jurisdiction and competence; 4) it should show the an action; 2) it has to be done against someone who in the law is called the respondent; 3) it has of Canon Law, Veritas, Dublin 1995, 862-863: 1) It has to be done by one who has title to such Cf. G. Sheehy et alii (eds), The Canon Law. Letter and Spirit, A Practical Guide to the Code for the purpose of pursuing the alleged rights. Church Court Procedure, The Catholic University of America, Washington 1937, 33. determinate object which the judge should deal with; J. KEALY, The Introductory Libellus in

Cf. Coram De ANGELIS, 26 May 2010, in Studies in Church Law 7 (2011), 185-190. "There is no Judge without a plaintiff and he may not proceed ex officio".

A. MENDONÇA, "Recent Rotal Jurisprudence from a Sociological Perspective", in Studia

Cf. Coram POMPEDDA, 17 June 1985, RRDec., Vol. 77 (1985), 288, n. 7.

petition for nullity of marriage is only the foundation for the protection of the right  $_{
m 0f}$ 

# 1.1 The Right of the Party if the Petition is Rejected

petitioner nor the respondent suffers any harm from not knowing the precise reasons petition meets the requirements of the law and the trial should begin. Moreover, neither their positions during the pending trial why the judge has accepted the petition. They will have ample opportunity to defend When the libellus is accepted by the judge, the decree of the acceptance says that the

expressed" in the decree in accord with can. 1617 (cf. DC art. 121 §2).11 Therefore, decision."12 Otherwise, the right of the petitioner would be unreasonably "the judicial vicar in a case in which he considers impossible to accept the libellus must been rejected, the reasons for the rejection must be given at least in summary form. compromised. 13 To honour the right of defence of the petitioner when the petition has notify the decree of rejection to the petitioner with motivating reasons for his On the contrary, "when a petition is rejected, the reasons for rejection must be exercise his/her right to present an amended petition according to can. 1505 §3 (DC Unless the petitioner learns the reasons why the petition is rejected, he/she cannot is an element of the right of defence in this preliminary phase of the trial. Therefore, substantial reasons. 15 In fact, the right of recourse against a decree rejecting the petition propose recourse against the decree of rejection of the libellus if it was rejected for according to can. 1505 §4 (cf. DC art. 124 §1) intelligently exercise his/her right to art. 123), if the petition has been rejected for formal reasons. 14 Nor can the petitioner the procedural norms requiring the communication of the reasons for the rejection of a petition protect the petitioner's right of defence by allowing him/her to respond to

=

12

tribunal. A question of rejection is to be determined with maximum expedition."

## 1.2 Before Which Tribunal (Coram Quo)

categorically affirms it in order to discover the proper juridical condition of their various problems in their marital life, doubt the validity of the marriage. He emphasizes the proximity and accessibility of the judges to the faithful who, owing to good, reasonable and practical possibility for the right of defending in the trial before unless it has the right to hear the case. 16 The purpose is to ensure that the party has a of proximity between the judges and the parties (cf. RP art. 7 §1). This new can. 1672, Motu Proprio Mitis Iudex Dominus Iesus (MIDI), explains that the titles of competence marriages before the eyes of the Church. The Ratio Procedendi (RP), annexed to the Establishing a competent forum is very important because a tribunal cannot proceed title of competence of the forum in marriage nullity cases which replaces the abrogated can. 1673, substantially alters the norms governing the in the new can. 1672 are foreseen in order to uphold as much as possible the principle the court. 17 However, Pope Francis, in his reform of the marriage nullity process,

The revised new can. 1672 after MIDI prescribes:

competencies are: In cases regarding the nullity of marriage not reserved to the Apostolic See, the

1° the tribunal of the place in which the marriage was celebrated:

quasi-domicile; 2° the tribunal of the place in which either or both parties have a domicile or a

 $3^{\circ}$  the tribunal of the place in which in fact most of the proofs must be collected. <sup>18</sup>

concluded that the legislator does not consider it useful, for matrimonial cases, to mentioned tribunals. Based on the revised new19 can. 1672 after MIDI, it can be In matrimonial nullity process, the petitioner can submit the petition in the aboverestrict himself only to the principle of actor sequitur forum rei. 20 Although they retain

Cf. Coram FUNGHINI, 22 June 1988, in Monitor Ecclesiasticus 114 (1989), 321: "Tunc iudex eiusque impugnatione in causis matrimonialis", in Quaderni dello Studio Rotale 2 (1988), 73libellum non admittit, rejectionis datis rationibus"; A. STANKIEWICZ, "De libelli rejectione

<sup>3</sup> devo notificare il decreto di rigetto con le motivazioni della sua decisione alla parte cho lo ha City 2017, 583: "Il vicario giudiziale, nel caso in cui non ritenga possibile ammettere il libello, E. NAPOLITANO, "Le competenze del vicario giudiziale dopo la riforma del processo matrimoniale canonico", in Studio in onore di Carlo Gullo (Annales 4), Vol. 3, LEV, Vatican sottoscritto (cf. can. 1617; DC art. 121)."

<sup>4</sup> Cf. O.R. GRAZIOLI, La querela nullitatis. Origini, attualità e prospettive di comparazione, Lateran University Press, Vatican City 2016, 69.

<sup>5</sup> Can. 1505 §3: "If a petition has been rejected by reason of defects which can be corrected, the Can. 1505 §4: "A party is always entitled, within ten canonical days, to have recourse, based plaintiff can draw up a new petition correctly and present it again to the same judge."

tribunal of appeal or, if the petition was rejected by the presiding judge, to the collegiate upon stated reasons, against the rejection of a petition. This recourse is to be made either to the 20 competenza prevalente quello del convenuto, prevedendosi altri tre fori"; M.J. ARROBA CONDE matrimoniali, a differenza degli altri processi contenziosi, non era considerato titolo di luce della riforma del processo matrimoniale, LEV, Vatican City 2018, 27: "Nelle cause Cf. Congregatio De Institutione Catholica, Istrazione: Gli Studi di Diritto Canonico alla

Cf. L.G. PRICE - D.A. SMILANIC - V. VONDENBERGER (eds), The Tribunal Handbook.

of the Fifty-second Annual Convention, CLSA, Washington 1991, 84. Cf. E.J. DILLON, "The Rights of the Respondent in Matrimonial Trials", in CLSA Proceedings Procedures for Formal Matrimonial Cases, CLSA, Washington 2005, 38.

<sup>00</sup> The new Can. 1672: "In causis de matrimonii nullitate, quae non sint Sedi Apostolicae

reservatae, competentia sunt:

<sup>2</sup>º tribunal loci in quo alterutra vel utraque pars domicilium vel quasi-domicilium habet; 1° tribunal loci in quo matrimonium celebratum est;

<sup>3°</sup> tribunal loci in quo de facto colligendae sunt pleraeque probationes." The word 'new' refers to those modified canons after the Mitis Iudex Dominus Iesus (MIDI).

in contrast to the abrogated can. 1673 of CIC before MIDI. claiming competence in marriage cases, the revised new can. 1672 has brought about the place where respondent has a domicile or quasi-domicile as possible bases for unchanged the forum of the place where the marriage has taken place and the forum of liberalization in regard to the competence of the forum of the petitioner and most proofs

order to have access to the forum of the proofs and that of the plaintiff. The abrogated can. 1673 prescribes: The new can. 1672 abrogates the conditions enshrined in the abrogated can. 1673 in

are not reserved to the Apostolic See: The following tribunals are competent in cases of the nullity of marriage which

1° the tribunal of the place where the marriage was celebrated:

2° the tribunal of the place where the respondent has a domicile or quasi-domicile;

judicial vicar of the domicile of the respondent, after consultation with the 3° the tribunal of the place where the plaintiff has a domicile, provided that both the parties live within the territory of the same Bishops' conference, and that the respondent, gives consent;

4° the tribunal of the place in which in fact most of the proofs are to be collected, provided that consent is given by the judicial vicar of the domicile of the respondent, who must first ask the respondent whether he/she has any objection

21

of consulting the respondent by the judicial vicar of the respondent before giving his competent. The forum of the plaintiff now is extended even to the forum of his/her consent in order to make the forum of the plaintiff or the forum of the most proofs as choice of the forum.<sup>24</sup> The abrogation of the restrictions on the use of the forum of the quasi-domicilio.23 The revised new can. 1672 has given rise to the equivalence of the The revised new can. 1672 abrogates the precautionary constraints<sup>22</sup> or the requirement of the right of defence.28 does not, however, seem to be without its dangers. 27 There seems to be a disregard for process.26 The abrogation of the requirements for the use of this basis for competence difficulties which the tribunals have experienced, so as to accelerate the pace of the tribunals.25 The abrogation of these conditions could be due to the delay and the petitioner as a basis for competence has been welcomed by the North American the concerns and the interests of the respondent and consequently for his/her exercise

22

23

27

26

concetto di 'giusto processo", in Quaestiones selectae. De re matrimoniali ac processuali (Annales 6), LEV, Vatican City 2018, 26: "Già nella disciplina precedente, a differenza di "O motu proprio mitis iudex em relação ao conceito de processo justo", in Forum Canonicum 12 (2017), 16: He says that the forum of the plaintiff is also given importance keeping in mind the principle of celerity and proximity; ID., "Il Mitis index Dominus Iesus in relazione al quanto stabilito per le altre cause, il Legislatore non riteneva utile per le cause matrimoniali attenersi al solo principio actor sequitur forum rei."

Abrogated can. 1673: "In causis de matrimonii nullitate, quae non sint Sedi Apostolicae reservatae, competentia sunt:

<sup>1°</sup> tribunal loci in quo matrimonium celebratum est;

<sup>2</sup>º tribunal loci in quo pars conventa domicilium vel quasi-domicilium habet;

<sup>3</sup>º tribunal loci in quo pars actrix domicilium habet, dummodo utraque pars in territorio eiusdem Episcoporum conferentiae degat et Vicarius iudicialis domicilii partis conventae, ipsa audita

<sup>4°</sup> tribunal loci in quo de facto colligendae sunt pleraeque probationes, dummodo accedat consensus Vicarii iudicialis domicilii partis conventae, qui prius ipsam interroget, num quid

Cf. M. DEL POZZO, "I titoli di competenza e la 'Concorrenza materiale' alla luce del m.p. Mitis Iudex Dominus Iesus", in Ius Ecclesiae 28 (2016), 460.

Cf. M.J. ARROBA CONDE, "Can. 1672", in A.B. POVEDA (ed.), Código de Derecho Canónico Popular, Valencia 2016<sup>16</sup>, 723. Edición bilingüe, fuentes y comentarios de todos los cánones, Editorial Cultural y Espiritual

<sup>24</sup> matrimoniale. Dinamiche interne e proiezioni esterne nel Mitis Iudex Dominus Iesus' alla luce Cf. F.S. REA, Delibazione di sentenze ecclesiastiche e riforma dei processi canonici di nullità

<sup>25</sup> countries of origin and whose former spouses reside in places without effectively functioning a pastoral response to the situation of immigrants whose marriages were celebrated in their del 'giusto processo', LEV, Vatican City 2018, 59. Zone", in The Jurist 76 (2016), 177: In the North American Context, it enables them to provide Cf. J.P. BEAL, "The Ordinary Process According to Mitis Index: Challenges to Our Comfort

marriage tribunals. Cf. F. FRANCHETTO, "Il vicario giudiziale e il vicario giudiziale aggiunto", in I soggetti del

particolare tenendo conto del nuovo sistema di attribuzione della competenza (cf. can. 1672; RP art. 7 §1)"; ID., "Some Questions Common to the Three Processes for the Declaration of diritto di difesa del coniuge che non aderisce alla richiesta della nullità del matrimonio, in tiene nella dovuta considerazione il rischio che una procedura semplificata possa affievolire il nuovo processo matrimoniale canonico (Annales 5), LEV, Rome 2018, 135. Documentation. Proceedings of a Conference organised by LUMSA Università and the Consociatio Internationalis Studio Iuris Canonici Promovendo Rome, 30 November 2015, matrimonio previsti dal m.p. 'Mitis Iudex'", in Ius Ecclesiae 28 (2016), 27: "Tuttavia, il MI Cf. J. LLOBELL, "Alcune questioni comuni ai tre processi per la dichiarazione di nullità del suoi intenti dichiarati, ha premiato il criterio della semplicità e immediatezza nell'accesso al giudizio, a scapito magari della maggior protezione del diritto di difesa e della sicurezza relaxation of the conditions are considered at the detriment of the protection of the right of defence; M. Del Pozzo, "I titoli di competenza e la 'concorrenza materiale' alla luce del M.P. Wilson & Lafleur Limitée, Montréal 2016, 46. M. Del Pozzo is also of the opinion that E. CAPARROS (eds), The Reform Enacted by the m.p. Mitis ludex. Commentaries and Nullity of Marriage set out in the Motu Proprio Mitis Iudex", in P.M. DUGAN - L. NAVARRO -Mitis Iudex Dominus lesus", in lus Ecclesiae 28 (2016), 462: "La riforma, conformemente ai

Cf. M.R. DE OLIVEIRA, "A reforma do processo matrimonial à luz dos principos gerais do processo canónico", in Forum Canonicum 11 (2016), 35-75; G. BONI, "Alcune considerazioni processo canónico", in Forum Canonicum 11 (2016), 35-75; G. BONI, "Alcune considerazioni processo canónico", in Forum Canonicum 11 (2016), 35-75; G. BONI, "Alcune considerazioni processo canónico", in Forum Canonicum 11 (2016), 35-75; G. BONI, "Alcune considerazioni processo canónico", in Forum Canonicum 11 (2016), 35-75; G. BONI, "Alcune considerazioni processo canónico", in Forum Canonicum 11 (2016), 35-75; G. BONI, "Alcune considerazioni processo canónico", in Forum Canonicum 11 (2016), 35-75; G. BONI, "Alcune considerazioni processo canónico", in Forum Canonicum 11 (2016), 35-75; G. BONI, "Alcune considerazioni processo canónico", in Forum Canonicum 11 (2016), 35-75; G. BONI, "Alcune considerazioni processo canónico", in Forum Canonicum 11 (2016), 35-75; G. BONI, "Alcune considerazioni processo canónico", in Forum Canonicum 11 (2016), 35-75; G. BONI, "Alcune considerazioni processo canónico", in Forum Canonicum 11 (2016), 35-75; G. BONI, "Alcune considerazioni processo canónico", in Forum Canonicum 11 (2016), 35-75; G. BONI, "Alcune considerazioni processo canónico", in Forum Canonicum 11 (2016), 35-75; G. BONI, "Alcune considerazioni processo canónico", in Forum Canonicum 11 (2016), 35-75; G. BONI, "Alcune considerazioni processo canónico", in Forum Canonicum 11 (2016), 35-75; G. BONI, "Alcune considerazioni processo canónicum 11 (2016), 35-75; G. BONI, "Alcune considerazioni processo canónicum 11 (2016), 35-75; G. BONI, "Alcune considerazioni processo canónicum 11 (2016), 35-75; G. BONI, "Alcune considerazioni processo canónicum 11 (2016), 35-75; G. BONI, "Alcune considerazioni processo canónicum 11 (2016), 35-75; G. BONI, "Alcune considerazioni processo canónicum 11 (2016), 35-75; G. BONI, "Alcune considerazioni processo canónicum 11 (2016), 35-75; G. BONI, "Alcune considerazioni processo canónicum 11 (2016), 35-75; G. BONI, "Alcun

# The Right to be Cited (Audiatur et altera pars)

scope as the guarantee of the right of defence. <sup>31</sup> A trial begins with the citation (cf. can. 1517), without which there is the denial of the right of defence of the respondent. <sup>32</sup> N. significant elements of the right of defence. 30 The respondent is asked to give his/her (audiatur et altera pars).29 This involves the right to be heard which is one of the most and summon the respondent to express his/her views within fifteen days (cf. can. 1676 After having accepted the libellus, the judicial vicar, by a decree appended at the exercise his/her rights is primarily carried out by this summons. This summons informs Signatura considers this citation as one of the most significant rights of the parties.34 a trial and it is necessarily required not only by the positive law but also by the natural to defend him/herself.33 A citation is a legitimate act whereby a respondent is called to Picardi observes that the nucleus of the procedural rights is the right of the respondent opinion within fifteen days. Arroba Conde observes that the citation has its ultimate §1). This summons is often referred to as the citation. It is the calling of the other party bottom of the libellus itself, is to order that a copy be communicated to the respondent The responsibility of the tribunal to provide the respondent with the opportunity to law because it pertains to natural defence. The Supreme Tribunal of the Apostolic respondent, the procedural acts are null (cf. can. 1511; DC art. 128) and the judgement of the right of defence.35 If the summons is not lawfully communicated to the the respondent of the plaintiff's plea and affords him/her an opportunity for the exercise

sulle possibili difficoltà insorgenti nell'Exequatur in Italia delle sentenze canoniche di nullità matrimoniale dopo il Mitis Iudex", in Studio in onore di Carlo Gullo (Annales 4), Vol. 1, LEV, Cf. A.R. REMO, "The Right of Defence and the Defence of Rights", in Philippine Canonical Vatican City 2017, 189.

29

30 Lateranensis, Romae 2011, 358. della fede, Theses ad doctoratum in iure canonico assequendum, Pontificia Universitas Cf. V.G. MARIA SEBASTIAN, I graviora delicta riservati alla congregazione per la dottrina Forum 2 (2000), 195.

3 32 Cf. M.J. ARROBA CONDE, Diritto processuale canonico, Institutum Iuridicum Claretianum,

denegatum' nella giurisprudenza Rotale, (Studi Giuridici 25), LEV, Vatican City 1991, 240-214; STANKIEWICZ, "De citationis necessitate et impugnatione", in Monitor Ecclesiasticus 114 the right of desence: cf. G. ERLEBACH, La nullità della sentenza giudiziale 'ob ius desensionis For an analysis of the hypothesis which says that absence of the citation leads to the denial of

ų. 3 Rivista trimestrale di diritto e procedura civile 55 (2003), 10. Cf. N. PICARDI, "Audiatur et Altera Pars - le matrici storico-culturali del contradittorio", in

Cf. STAS, "Letter circolare su talune questioni riguardanti la tutela del diritto di difesa nel processo di nullita del matrimonio Prot. N. 33840/02 V.T. (14 November 2002)", 870; W.L. Signatura. Official Latin with English Translation, Gratianus Series, Wilson & Lafleur Limitée, DANIEL (ed.), Ministerium iustitiae. Jurisprudence of the Supreme Tribunal of Apostolic

Cf. J. McArenvey, The Canon Law of Marriage and the Family, Four Courts Press, Dublin

35

The Introductory Phase of the Marriage Nullity Process and the Rights of the Parties

of the tribunal is irremediably null due to the denial of the right of defence. 36 Failure to communicate the summons is an example of denial of this right of defence (cf. can. no document of summons has been issued (cf. can. 1507 §3; DC art. 126 §3). summoned, in fact, appears in the court, he/she is considered to be summoned though the most exceptional reasons.<sup>37</sup> Nonetheless, if the party, who is necessarily to be is investigating the marriage and that right should be restricted only to the gravest and 1620, 7°; DC art. 270, 7°), since the respondent has the right to be heard when a tribunal

as nobody is to be judged unheard. As V.M. Goertz observes: In a judicial process, natural justice demands that the respondent should be summoned

someone who has not been peremptorily cited or to condemn him when the of anyone without having heard his case causes equity to suffer. To condemn An absent person should not be punished nor condemned; for the condemnation citation served, did not reach him, is to act invalidly.38

sent to the curator (cf. can. 1508 §3; DC art. 131 §1). Moreover, the law safeguards the is of impaired mind and, therefore, has no standing in court, the summons should be guaranteeing the natural right of defence. 39 If the respondent lacks the use of reason or petitioner and of the respondent with the tribunal is an essential condition for Grocholewski is of the opinion that establishing these procedural relations of the document of the summons, or who circumvents the delivery of the summons is to be administration of justice by prescribing that a respondent who refuses to accept the considered as lawfully summoned (cf. can. 1050; DC art. 133).

### 2.1 The Libellus Attached to the Summon

According to can. 1508 §2 and can. 1676 §1, the libellus is attached to the summons. Can. 1676, §1 states:

After receiving the libellus, the judicial vicar, if he considers that it has some that a copy be communicated to the defender of the bond and, unless the libellus basis, admits it and, by a decree appended to the bottom of the libellus, is to order was signed by both parties, to the respondent, giving them a period of fifteen days to express their views on the petition.

37

<sup>36</sup> Cf. M.J. ARROBA CONDE, *Diritto processuale canonico*, Institutum Iuridicum Claretianum, Rome 2012<sup>6</sup>, 357; D.S. FERNANDES, "Procedures to be Followed in Marriage Nullity Cases".

Cf. L.G. Wrenn, "The Rights of a Respondent to be Cited if Potentially Violent", in W.A. Schumacher et alii (eds), Roman Replies and CLSA Advisory Opinions 1987, CLSA,

Cf. V.M. GOERTZ, The Judicial Summons: A Historical Synopsis and a Commentary, The

Cf. Z. GROCHOLEWSKI, Šnidie z procesného kanonického práva, transl. by J. DUDA, Kňažský seminár biskupa Jána Vojtaššáka, Spišské Podhradie 1995, 157. Catholic University of America Press, Washington 1957, 2.

of the allegations. 41 Therefore, the respondent's right to be informed of the petitioner's party adduces by way of proof. 40 The respondent cannot defend himself/herself without petition is an important condition for the respondent's exercise of the right of defence, 42 claim is parallel to the right of the petitioner to introduce the petition in order to defend his/her right of defence. A person is evidently deprived of his/her right of defence if Hence, when a respondent knows what he/she is being accused of, he/she can exercise his/her rights. Rotal jurisprudence is also of the opinion that the notification of the having access to the entire libellus at the time of citation in order to know the content he/she has no proper knowledge of what the other party alleges and what the other Thus, the respondent has the opportunity to set in motion the options for defence,

# 2.2 The Withholding of the Libellus at the Time of Citation

not absolute<sup>43</sup> as mentioned in can. 1508 §2<sup>44</sup> (cf. DC art. 127 §3). Can. 1508, §2 states: The right of the respondent to see a copy of the petition at this introductory phase is

party before he or she gives evidence. reasons the judge considers that the petition is not to be communicated to the other The petition introducing the suit is to be attached to the summons, unless for grave

are elaborated in the 1976 schema. 45 The 1976 Schema of "De processibus" permitted respondent before the respondent gives evidence. The serious reasons for this exception For serious reasons, the judge may decide not to reveal the formal petition to the the judge for grave cause to withhold the petition until the respondent gave evidence

4 Cf. R. Brown, Marriage Annulment in the Catholic Church, Suffolk, Kevin Mayhew Limited,

42 nititur naturali iure quod postulat ne quis damnetur nisi prius auditus fuerit seseque defendere Cf. Coram FAGIOLO, 30 October 1968, RRDec., Vol. 60 (1968), 713: "Citationis ratio igitur

43 defensio habetur quando, cognita petitione alterius partis, utriusque Patronis facultas facta est: probationes omnes alterius partis cognoscendi, [...]." Cf. Coram GIANNECCHINI, 23 May 1989, RRDecr., Vol. 7 (1989), 95, n. 2: "Substantialiter

4 of Canon Law, 866. Cf. G. SHEEHY et alii (eds), The Canon Law. Letter and Spirit, A Practical Guide to the Code

45

Cf. PONTIFICIA COMMISSIO CODICI IURIS CANONICI RECOGNOSCENDO, Relatio Complectens the respondent against the plaintiff, thus destroying the chances of the respondent participating in the search for the truth. Statements made in the petition might annoy relatives of the datis, Typis Polyglottis Vaticanis, Vatican City 1981, 317-318; Communicationes 16 (1984), synthesim animadversonum ab Em.mis atque Exc.mis patribus commissionis ad Novissimum causas censeat libellum significandum non esse parti, antequam haec deposuerit in iudicio." Commentary, CLSA, Alexandria 2006, 131. The petition might elicit a strong animosity from iustitiae in Ecclesia secumfert"; K. LÜDICKE - R.E. JENKINS, Dignitas Connubii: Norms and sine periculo quaerelae apud tribunal civile, quae vero gravia consectaria pro administratione 62: "In nationibus ubi viget systema iuridicum 'common law', ut vocant, hoc fieri non potest schema codicis iuris canonici exhibitarum, cum responsionibus a secretaria et consultaribus Cf. can. 1508 §2: "Citationi libellus litis introductorius adiungatur, nisi iudex propter graves

> not give the judge the discretionary power. This matter was taken up at the October the secular courts and a serious restriction on the administration of justice within the provision be made for those countries under a Common law system. It was felt that if 1981 meeting of the Code Commission. Some members of the Commission asked that (cf. can. 144 of the 1976 Schema). The 1980 Schema removed this provision and did Church. Therefore, the revised CIC 1983 gave the discretionary power to the judge. the petition was sent with the summons, there was always possibility of an action before

being cited or at the time of the joinder of issues."46 respondent does not have the right to know the petition of the petitioner either while A decision of the Supreme Tribunal of the Apostolic Signatura in 1971 observed, "the

exception, because it interferes with the right of defence.<sup>49</sup> Nevertheless, S. As stated above, the formal petition contains the proof by which the plaintiff will it is only for serious reasons that he may decide that the petition is not to be This option of not attaching the petition to the summons must be considered only as an belongs to the judge<sup>48</sup> who may exercise discretion in this regard (cf. can. 1508 §2). the plaintiff or the Church. 47 Hence, to decide what really constitutes a grave reason the legitimate fear that the respondent may initiate a civil action of defamation against demonstrate the case. Hence, the grave reasons for withholding the petition would be communicated to the respondent until he/she makes a deposition. 50 Villeggiante says that the judge does not have unlimited discretion in this regard, since

# 2.3 The Innovation of Dignitas Connubii on Citation

gives evidence. But DC art. 127 §3 goes a step further decreeing in the same article, the judge may decide not to reveal the formal petition to the respondent before he/she which is communicated to the respondent. It is further stated that, for grave reasons, decrees that the petition introducing the suit is to be attached to the decree of citation More clarity has been offered by DC in this regard. Can. 1508 §2 (cf. DC art. 127 §3) "[...] in this case, however, it is required that the respondent party be notified of the

6

STAS, Decision in Congressu (6 April 1971), in X. Ochoa (ed.), Leges Ecclesiae, Vol. 4, actricis nec quando accipit citationem, nec in ipsa sede litis contestationibus"; cf. L. University Press, Vatican City 2019<sup>5</sup>, 448. Cf. M.S. Foster, Annulment: The Wedding That Was, Paulist Press, New York 1999, 137. SABBARESE, Il matrimonio canonico nell'ordine della natura e della grazia. Urbaniana Ediurcla, Romae 1987, col. 5989: "Pars conventa non habet ius cognoscendi libellus partis

<sup>49 48</sup> 

Cf. S.P. Orallo, "The Ordinary Contentious Trial", in A. Marzoa et alii (eds), Exegerical Commentary on the Code of Canon Law, Vol. 4/2, Wilson & Lasteur Limitée, Montréal 2004,

Š ordinario per la nullità del matrimonio", in Z. GROCHOLEWSKI - V.C. ORTI (ed.), Dilexit Institiam: Studia in Honorem Aurelii Card. Sabattani, LEV, Vatican City 1984, 360. Cf. S. VILLEGGIANTE, "Il principio del contradditorio nella fase di costituzione del processo

not denied; rather, it is only delayed.<sup>57</sup> process during the publication of the acts. 56 In this way, the right to see the petition is addition, the respondent will be given access to the formal petition later on in the to Hilbert, it is sufficient that the respondent knows the request and its motives. 55 In because the summons will contain the reasons behind the plaintiff's plea.54 According of the trial. If the petition is withheld, the respondent's right of defence is not lost, the chances for the respondent to exercise the right of defence even at an earlier phase provision, newly added by DC in its art. 127 §3, foresees the possibility of providing based on the knowledge given to him/her through citation, can defend him/herself. This exercise of the right of defence stronger and more conducive to the respondent who, his/her opinion regarding the formulation of doubt.53 This makes provision for the informed of the ground(s) the plaintiff has advanced and the reasons for the same, x Without this minimal information the respondent would not be in a position to express the right of defence of the respondent, it is advisable that he/she should also be object of the case and the ground(s) proposed by the plaintiff."51 In order to safeguard

# 2.4 The Rights Pertaining to the Citation of the Party in Different Circumstances

right of defence the respondent and how he/she could be cited in order to guarantee provision for the it is also important to make a study on the various circumstances and the conditions of Having analyzed the significance of citation and its close link with the right of defence,

### 2.4.1 The Incarcerated Respondent

be in a position to present him/herself in person in the tribunal. However, he/she must of defence in the nullity cases. It is true that the respondent in such a situation may not right. Therefore, the fact of being incarcerated does not deprive the party of the right the right of defending him/herself and should be given an opportunity to exercise this The respondent who is incarcerated has also the right to be cited. This party also has

52 5 DC art. 127 §3: "[...] Hoc tamen in casu requiritur ut parti conventae notificentur obiectum causae et ratio petendi ab actore adducta."

59

58

5 54 53 Cf. K. Lüdicke – R.E. Jenkins, Dignitas Connubii: Norms and Commentary, 228. Cf. M.J. ARROBA CONDE - C. IZZI, Pastorale giudiziaria e prassi processuale nelle cause di notificare alla parte convenuta l'oggetto della causa e la ragione della domanda attorea." della parte citanda, occorre giustificare tramite decreto motivato l'omessa allegazione e nullità del matrimonio. Dopo la riforma operata con il Motu proprio Mitis Iudex Dominus Iesus, San Paulo, Milan 2017, 96-97: "Tuttavia in tal caso, per salvaguardare il diritto di difesa

Cf. M.S. FOSTER, Annulment: The Wedding That Was, 139.

56 Cf. M. HILBERT, "Citazione e intimazione degli atti giudiziari (cc. 1507-1512)", in Forum 3

61 60

Cf. Z. GROCHOLEWSKI, "De period initiali seu introductoria processus in causis nullitatis

57

Cf. R.M. McGuckin, "The Respondent's Rights in a Marriage Nullity Case", in H.F. Doogan (ed.), Catholic Tribunals: Marriage Annulment and Dissolution, Southwood Press, Australia

> person's right of defending in the nullity process. respondent. These measures could be viewed as the means to protect the incarcerated respondent. 58 The diocesan bishop could also appoint a procurator for the incarcerated interview or by appointing an auditor to go to the prison in order to interview the be given the opportunity to defend him/herself by responding in writing, by a telephone

# The Respondent Whose Whereabouts is Unknown

schema. 59 It is clear that the legislator envisages more effective methods depending on to discover his/her location. In CIC 1917, a "summons by edict" was allowed (cf. can. News Letter. 60 However, DC art. 132 §1 prescribes: They could consider appropriate measures such as a notice in a newspaper or Diocesan the local circumstances for the citation of the respondent who is unable to be traced the reason for not including edict as one of the means had been discussed in the particular law on the manner of summons. CIC 1983 is silent on summons by edict and failed. Can. 1509 §1 of CIC 1983 envisages due regard to the norms laid down by 1720), when the diligent efforts made to locate the whereabouts of the respondent When the whereabouts of the respondent is unknown, adequate efforts should be made

a party lives, who is to be cited or to whom some act is to be communicated, the investigation that was made. 61 judge can proceed further, but there must be proof in the acts of the diligent Whenever, after a diligent investigation has been made, it is still unknown where

makes all possible efforts, so that the respondent can exercise his/her right of defence. 62 the party still remains unknown. Hence, mens legis is to ascertain that the tribunal particular law establishes, whenever, after a diligent investigation, the whereabouts of DC art. 132 §2 also envisages categorically the need for summons by edict if the

Cf. R.M. McGuckin, "The Respondent's Rights in Matrimonial Nullity Case", 457-481. on the summons had due regard to norms laid down by particular law.

vel pars cui aliquod actum notificandum est, iudex ad ulteriora procedere potest, sed de sedula DC art. 132 §1: "Quoties, diligenti inquisitione peracta, adhuc ignoretur ubi degat pars citanda

Cf. J. LLOBELL, I processi matrimoniali nella chiesa, EDUSC, Rome 2015, 182; F. DANEELS, "Observations on the Process for the Declaration of Nullity of Marriage", in Forum 11 (2000).

Cf. P.O. AKPOGHIRAN, Mitis Index: Text and Commentary, Transfiguration Press, New

Orleans, Louisiana 2017, 183. schema codicis iuris canonici exhibitarum, cum responsionibus a secretaria et consultaribus synthesim animadversonum ab Em.mis atque Exc.mis patribus commissionis ad Novissimum Cf. PONTIFICIA COMMISSIO CODICI IURIS CANONICI RECOGNOSCENDO, Relatio Complectens admission of the canon. It was, however, decided that this was not necessary since the canon held in October, 1978, can. 150 was suppressed. The Code Commission which met in October, The coetus, which met in 1978, set out precisely to reduce and simplify it. Hence, in the meeting datis, 318. The 1976 Schema of "De processibus" allowed summons by edict (cf. can. 150). 1981 discussed the question of the summons by edict. Some members requested the re-

can appoint a guardian.63 Wrenn opines that in such a situation in order to protect the right of defence, the judge

## 2.4.3 The Respondent Refusing the Summons

respondent has no obligation to accept it as he/she is not obliged to exercise the right of defence against the plaintiff.64 The non-cooperation of the party to receive the ecclesial authority, etc. While the judge has an obligation to send summons, the obtain money for the collaboration in the process; and 4) because of disrespect for the reasons: 1) due to aversion to the plaintiff; 2) to impede the declaration of nullity; 3) to Experience shows that the respondent often refuses to receive the summons for various before declaring the respondent absent and decreeing that the case is to proceed to the summons and to appear before the tribunal would necessitate the judge to declare the definitive judgement, the judge should ensure, if need be, by issuing another summons respondent absent with due regard for cann. 1592-1595. Can. 1592 §2 stipulates that who has been declared absent from the trial, there shall be communicated the so that a lawful summons did reach the respondent. DC art. 134 §3 states, "to a party which prescribes that the dispositive part of the sentence should be notified to the one formulation of the doubt and the definitive sentence, without prejudice to art. 258 §3765 who has renounced his/her right. 66 This provision is also enshrined in RP art. 13.67

# 2.4.4 The Party Entrusting Oneself to the Justice of the Court

a declaration that he/she does not wish to participate in the trial and according to RP him/herself to the justice of the tribunal is the one who, when cited, responds and makes party entrusts him/herself to the justice of the court. A respondent who has entrusted When a respondent is cited, he/she must respond to the judge. But at times the cited art. 11 §2 he/she is deemed not to object to the petition. Therefore, he/she entrusts the just resolution of the case in the hands of the judge. However, DC art.  $134\ \S 2$  states:

To those parties who entrust themselves to the justice of the court after the is determined, any new petition which might have been made, the decree of the citation, must be communicated the decree by which the formulation of the doubt

2 2 Cf. L.G. WRENN, Procedures, CLSA, Washington 1987, 39,

Cf. V.G. D'Souza, "The Introductory Phase in Matrimonial Nullity Process", in Canonical

65 DC art. 134 §3: "Parti, cuius absentia a iudicio declarata fuerit, notificabuntur formula dubii et

66 sententia definitiva, salvo art. 258 §3."

cause, he is considered to have renounced his right to obtain a copy of the sentence. In such case, with due observance of particular law, the dispositive part of the sentence may be Cf. DC art. 258 §3: "But if a party has declared that he does not want any notice at all about the communicated to the same party."

67

Cf. RP art. 13: "If a party expressly declares that he or she objects to receiving any notices about this case, that party may be notified of the dispositive part of the sentence." the case, that party is held to have renounced the faculty of receiving a copy of the sentence. In

publication of the acts, and all decision of the college.68

defence in the real sense, even after entrusting themselves to the justice of the court. 69 Thus, DC makes provision for the parties for the possibility of exercising the right of

### 2.4.5 The Violent Respondent

respondent for defence by way of citation. Some petitioners, owing to their violent and It is obvious that the judge must spare no effort to safeguard the natural right of the summoning the respondent. 70 Hence, obviously the right of the respondent plays a vital circumstances like these, therefore, the plaintiff and the advocate could make a request and dangerous reactions of the respondent, if the judge summons the party. In aggressive experiences with the respondent in the past, can genuinely fear the violent untraced respondent.71 opines that the appointment of a guardian could be a solution in the case of a violent or emerge. If the judge truly finds the respondent violent, he may appoint a curator. Wrenn role as it concerns the right of defence, even though certain risks and dangers can of the Apostolic Signatura is unwilling to dispense with the canonical obligation of that the tribunal does not summon the respondent. Nevertheless, the Supreme Tribunal

### The Various Rights of the Parties

such right is their right to various pieces of information. The right of defence implies Parties enjoy many rights, which enable their exercise of the right of defence.72 One exercise the right of defence lawfully when he/she is aware of the issues contested and knowledge of the issues and matters to be defended. The respondent in a suit can effectively in a process. Further, the respondent needs to be provided with all the before the instruction of the case begins, so he/she can exercise his/her rights the means of the trial. Therefore, the respondent has the right to a variety of information necessary information in the course of the process. The right to information 73 (ius ad informationem), which we discuss below, concerns the right of defence of the parties.

88

69 Cf. J.I. Arrieta (ed.), L'istruzione Dignitas Connubii nella dinamica delle cause matrimoniali,

72 to the violent respondent.

quo formula dubii statuta est, nova forte facta petitio, decretum publicationis actorum et omnes DC art. 134 §2: "Partibus, quae sese remittunt iustitiae tribunalis, notificari debent decretum

<sup>70</sup> Advisory Opinions 1989, 27-29: Apostolic Signatura, in its reply to the judicial vicar of Perge Cf. STAS, Reply, 14 June 1989, in W.A. SCHUMACHER et alii (eds), Roman Replies and CLSA in 1989 who petitioned for the dispensation of summons, negated the omission of the summon

Cf. W.L. DANIEL, "Nullity of the Definitive Sentence due to the Violation of the Right of

Cf. C. PAPALE, I processi. Commento ai canoni 1400-1670 del Codice di Diritto Canonico. Defence: A Case Study", in The Jurist 71 (2011), 164. Urbaniana University Press, Vatican City 2017, 371.

### 3.1 The Right to Knowledge of the Constitution of the Tribunal

actualizing in the concrete case the general norms of law."75 Thus, the elements of Moreover, "the judge is a public person invested with the function of judging in a trial proceeding of the case. Can. 1448 (cf. DC art. 67) enumerates: neutrality and impartiality of the judge are fundamental to a just and impartial personnel of the tribunal has to be built upon trust, objectivity and confidence. insists that the process is to be objective 74 the relationship between the parties and the also contain the details of the constitution of the tribunal. Since the current legislation tribunal with the judge/judges and other tribunal personnel by the same decree Therefore, the decree of formula of doubt, which is communicated to the parties, will formula of doubt to the parties by a decree, should also arrange the constitution of the According to the new can. 1676 §3, the judicial vicar, when communicating the

That the judge is not to undertake the hearing of a case in which any personal interest may be involved by reason of consanguinity or affinity in any degree of guardianship or tutelage, or of close relationship or marked hostility or possible the direct line and up to the fourth degree of the collateral line, or by reason of financial profit or loss.76

of suspicion against an official of the court, when the official, despite a personal interest judge when he has a personal interest in the case. The following three cann. 1449-1451 Can. 1449 §1 (cf. DC art. 67 §2) presumes the voluntary withdrawal from a case by a arise, though, when the objection proposed is never resolved.78 in the case declines to withdraw voluntarily. 77 The question of the right of defence does (cf. DC artt. 68 §1, 69 §1 and 70 §1) treat the case in which a party lodges an objection

Though can. 1448 (cf. DC art. 67) does not enumerate the respondent's right to this knowledge directly, it permits the parties to lodge objections against the officials of the

75 74 Cf. M. Nobel, "The Validity of the Acts of a Suspect Judge", in Studia Canonica 44 (2010),

CAPPELLO, Summa Iuris Canonici, Vol. 3, Apud aedes Universitatis Gregoriane, Romae 1955 L. SABBARESE, Il matrimonio canonico nell'ordine della natura e della grazia, 428; cf. F.M.

simultatis, vel lucri faciendi aut damni vitandi, aliquid ipsius intersit." consanguinitatis vel affinitatis in quolibet gradu lineae rectae et usque ad quartum gradum Can. 1448 §1 of CIC 1983: "Iudex cognoscendam ne suscipiat causam, in qua ratione lineae collateralis, vel ratione tutelae et curatelae, intimae vitae consuetudinis, magnae

77

76

78 Cf. L.G. Wrenn, "Commentary on can. 1449", in J.P. BEAL - J.A. CORIDEN - T.J. GREEN (eds). New Commentary on the Code of Canon Law, 1636.

Since the procedural relationship of the judge and the parties is seriously tainted when one of the judges lacks the impartiality that is essential for judicial independence, when a legitimately RRDecr., Vol. 6 (1988), 37-38, n. 12. In this case objection was against two of the judges, a sentence due to the denial of the right of defence: cf. Coram Boccafola, 13 February 1988, posed objection of suspicion is overly ignored, it can result in the irremediable nullity of the

> exercises the right of defence. Hence, he/she prevents him/herself from being given a tribunal with the plaintiff and wards off the risk of any position of interest.79 biased or one-sided judgement due to the personal interests of the personnel of the tribunal for the above-mentioned reasons. In this regard, the respondent indirectly

# 3.2 Rights during the Fixing of the Formula of Doubt

expressing them to the judicial vicar at the very early phase of the fixing of terms of summons, the judicial vicar can determine by his decree the terms of controversy after respondent, giving them a period of fifteen days to express their views on the petition communication of the decree appended at the bottom of the libellus itself to the As it was discussed earlier, the acceptance of the petition is followed by the contentious trial is stated by Rotal decisions given by Parisella<sup>81</sup> and Pompeda.<sup>82</sup> marriage is challenged.80 The indispensable need of the formula of doubt in a The formulation of doubt must determine on what ground(s) the validity of the controversy. The judicial vicar cannot form the terms of controversy in a generic form. the judge protects his/her right of defence as he/she is able to defend his/her views by of the respondent. Therefore, the right for the respondent to respond to the citation of having correctly interpreted the pleas and the views of the parties or even the silence his/her views on the petition. At the expiry of fifteen days from the notification of the (cf. can. 1676 §1). The respondent is admonished and given fifteen days to express

can. 1677 §4. L. Sabbarese argues that this change affects the exercise of the right of after MIDI. The change is seen in the new can. 1676 §2 as opposed to the abrogated the parties to make recourse to the same judge or to request the decree be altered. 83 There is a significant change in determining the formula of doubt in the new can. 1676

# 3.3 The Right to Recourse against the Decree of Joinder of Issue

abrogated can. 1677 §4, the parties, when they feel that the formula of doubt has been of formula of doubt, can make objection within ten days, if they feel that there is some According to the abrogated can. 1677 §4, the party, after being notified of the decree is not found in the new can. 1676 after MIDI. According to the new canon, the party is their right to justice, asking for the change of the formula of doubt. But this provision the citation are not respected or taken into account, could make an objection and defend unjustly or improperly fixed, or that the views they have expressed to the judge after injustice in the fixing up of the formulation of the doubt. Thus, according to the

Cf. M. Nobel, "The Validity of the Acts of a Suspect Judge", 5-30.

processuale nelle cause di nullità del matrimonio. Dopo la riforma operata con il Motu proprio Cf. Coram Parisella, 24 March 1983, RRDec., Vol. 75 (1983), 146-153. Mitis Iudex Dominus Iesus, 99; P.O. AKPOGHIRAN, Mitis Iudex: Text and Commentary, 209. For more clarification: cf. M.J. ARROBA CONDE - C. 1221, Pastorale giudiziaria e prassi

Cf. L. SABBARESE, Canon Law. An Overview, Urbaniana University Press, Vatican City 2017, Cf. Coram POMPEDDA, 17 June 1985, 288-294.

of the right of defence.84 Therefore, in its effort to speed up the trial, the new can. 1676 communicating the decree of formulation of doubt before he issues the decree of the deprived of the ten-day period and the opportunity to lodge any objection against the formula of doubt which was notified to them by the decree (cf. new can. 1676 §2). seems to have jeopardized the actual exercise of the right of defence.85 instruction of the case. This elimination of the time period tends to harm the exercise Therefore, there is no need for the judicial vicar to wait for ten days after

and fixes the formula of doubt very meticulously, he can always avoid any unnecessary responsible fashion, there is no more risk to denying the right of defence.86 fixing up the formula of doubt in the trial at this phase. If tribunals are proceeding in a need to lodge an objection. This entails the proper and due diligence of the judge in disrespect towards the parties and their views and therefore the parties will have no However, as long as the judge takes the views of the respondent into serious account

provision of can. 1513 §3 can be applied in order to protect the right of defence. 87 Can. However, L. Sabbarese claims that in such situations where the parties feel that they have something to say against the formula of the doubt and defend such a right, the 1513 §3 prescribes:

agreed on the terms, they may within ten days have recourse to the same judge to request that the decree be altered. This question, however, is to be decided with maximum expedition by a decree of the judge.88 The decree of the judge is to be notified to the parties; unless they have already

or to request the decree be altered is not diminished due to the changes effected by precludes it." Therefore, can. 1513 §3 could be applied when the parties seriously insist and on the ordinary contentious trial must be applied unless the nature of the matter be still claimed that the right to make recourse against the decree of the joinder of issue that their views are not being considered properly by the judicial vicar in fixing up the By virtue of the general norm can. 1691 §3 and RP art. 6, "canons on trials in general MIDI in this regard formula of doubt and consequently there is injustice meted out to them. Thus, it could

84

# 3.4 The Notification of the Terms of Controversy

decree of the joinder of issue to the parties bears on the right of defence amounts to denial of the right of defence and consequently the irremediable nullity of on the part of the tribunal to notify the ground of nullity to the parties, therefore, the ground(s), i.e., for what reason the marriage is being accused of nullity.90 Failure by the trial is fundamental to the parties' informed partici pation in the process. J.G. they are going to decide. Therefore, the knowledge of the exact issues to be resolved cannot defend themselves if they do not know what the term of controversy is and what contestatio) are strictly judicial concepts and are associated with the right of defence.89 the sentence as per can. 1620, 7° (cf. DC art. 270, 7°). The communication of this Johnson wonders how the respondent can defend him/herself if he/she does not know Without determination of the matter there cannot be judgement. Besides, the parties The determination of doubt and the notification of the terms of controversy (Litis

summons. Therefore, they suggested that the decree should be communicated to the petition and the summons. To this, the Code commission responded that since the libellus might be unclear or might lack the exact words and juridic terms, the notification of the decree of the terms of controversy is superfluous because rarely is During the revision of the Code, some consulters were of the opinion that the defender of the bond (cf. can. 1513 §3, 1676 §2).93 the term of controversy or the formula of doubt must be sent to the parties and the notification of the decree is compulsory. 92 Hence, the decree of the judge which defines parties only when the terms of controversy is different from the one stated in the the controversy defined different from the ground(s) stated in the petition and in the

controversy. He states: has renounced the exercise of the right of defence should be notified of the terms of the John Paul II considered this right so important that he observed that even the party who

92

91

canonico riguarda come ridurre i termini dilatori del sistema giudiziale senza intaccare il giusto procedimento necessario al fine di tutelare adeguatamente il diritto di difesa delle parti in B.N. EJEH, "Mitis Iudex Dominus Iesus': obbiettivi, novità e alcune questioni", in Ephemerides Iuris Canonici 56 (2016), 390: "Uno degli aspetti più delicati della riforma del processo

<sup>86</sup> Cf. L. SABBARESE, Canon Law. An Overview, 268.

Cf. A.M. LASCHUK, "Mitis Iudex and the Conversion of Ecclesiastical Structures", in Studio

<sup>88</sup> Cf. L. Sabbarese, Canon Law. An Overview, 268.

intra decem dies ad ipsum iudicem recurrere, ut mutetur; uaestio autem expeditissime ipsius Can. 1513 §3: "Decretum iudicis partibus notificandum est; quae nisi iam consenserint, possunt

<sup>89</sup> Cf. A. ASSELIN, "L'interrogation des parties et des témons dans la cause en nullité de marriage

<sup>90</sup> Cf. J.G. JOHNSON, "Publish and Be Damned: The Dilemma of Implementing the Canons on selon la formulation du route", in Studia Canonica 41 (2007), 237-277.

Marriage. Jurisprudence and Interpretation, Pontificia Universitas Gregoriana, Rome 1987, Particular References to the United States of America", in R.M. SABLE (ed.), Incapacity for Cf. Z. GROCHOLEWSKI, "Current Questions Concerning the State and Activity of Tribunals with Publishing the Acts and the Sentence", in The Jurist 49 (1989), 211.

superflua est cum fere semper non differat a libello et a citatione. Proponitur sequens textus: Cf. Communicationes 16 (1984), 64: "Notificatio contestationis litis per iudicis decretum partibus iuridice constet de obiecto iudicii, quod in libello non semper clare et exactis verbis et litis contestatione partibus notificandum est; quae [...] Notificatio decreti necessaria est ut [...] si dubium concordandum a dubio in citatione notificato reapse differt, decretum iudicis de

terminis iuridicis praesentatur." Cf. *Ibid*.

opposing party, as well as of the definitive sentence.94 according to the sound jurisprudence of the Roman Rota, in cases of matrimonial I deem it opportune to remind all engaged in the administration of justice that be notified of the formula of the dubium, of every possible new demand of the nullity the party who may have renounced the exercise of right of defence should

#### 3.5 The Change in Terms of Controversy

consent. 95 Hence, the provision for consulting the other party implies an opportunity pronouncement extra vel ultra petita and there is a violation of the principle of consequently, the sentence itself would risk remediable nullity because it would be are changed would be null for violating the norm of can. 1514 (cf. DC art. 136) and who should carefully weigh the motives adduced by the party requesting the change. placing exceptions to the proposed new ground, if there are any, within a given timeexercise of the right of defence because the parties are offered the opportunity of which is sought. This provision also affords the party an opportunity for his/her for the party to defend his/her opinion and place his/her view regarding the change, give consideration to the other party's reasons but is not bound to seek the latter's of the other party on the part of the judge (cf. can. 1514; DC art. 136). The judge must the respondent, the defender of the bond and the promoter of justice; and 3) the hearing grave reason; 2) the request for change on the part of one of the parties, i.e., the plaintiff, can only be validly altered if the following conditions are met: 1) the presence of a from changing the terms of controversy ex officio once it is fixed and notified. They challenged and decided. According to can. 1514 (cf. DC art. 136), it prohibits a judge joining the issue and fixing the ground by which the validity of the marriage is According to the new can. 1676 §1 after MIDI, the judicial vicar is responsible for DC art. 270, 4°), the sentence would also be irremediably null because of a based on a null act (cf. can. 1622, 5°).97 In accord with the norm of can. 1620, 4° (cf. In the absence of any of these conditions, a decree by which the ground(s) of nullity that this party must be heard; and 4) a new formal decree must be issued by the judge limit. 96 The consent of this party is not required for the grounds to be changed, but only

96

95

94

98

violation of the right of defence in accord with the norm of can. 1620, 7° (cf. DC art. correspondence between the doubt and the juridical sentence. It will also result in the the right of defence. 101 arguments pertaining to the new grounds and they had no opportunity to defend opportunity to present any supporting or contrary evidence or to advance any 270, 7°), because of a change in the object of the trial without the party's knowledge.99 themselves. 100 There are many Rotal decisions pronounced based on this violation of The parties have not been made aware of the allegation, and thus never got the

# 3.6 Is the Right to Request for a Session at Stake?

of determining the formula of the doubt. According to the new can. 1676 §2 after MIDI, session does not exist in the new can. 1676 §2. Therefore, it can be said that this seems other party can request a session for the joinder of the issue. This provision for the not foreseen. According to the abrogated can. 1677 §2 and DC art. 126 §1, one or the in the new canon has abolished the joinder of issue. 102 The convening of the party is before MIDI, does not exist anymore. L. Sabbarese also opines that this modification bond. Therefore, the joinder of issue, which is foreseen in the abrogated can. 1677 §2 it is done by a decree of a judge after hearing the other party and the defender of the The most important part in the introductory phase of the trial is regarding the method to affect the exercise of the right of defence. 103 This provision for the joinder of issue

8

eventuale nuova domanda della parte avversa, nonché la sentenza definitiva." giurisprudenza della Rota Romana, si devono notificare nelle cause di nullità matrimoniali alla parte, che abbia rinunziato all'esercizio del diritto alla difesa, la formula del dubbio, ogni "Ritengo poi opportuno ricordare a tutti gli operatori della giustizia, che, secondo la sana JOHN PAUL II, Allocution to the Roman Rota (26 January 1989), in AAS 81 (1989), 924, n. 5:

agree to change the terms of the controversy once the joinder of the issue has been fixed. Cf. Can. 1731, 1° of CIC 1917 demanded the consent from the other party before a judge could

Cf. P.O. AKPOGHIRAN, Mitis Index: Text and Commentary, 212.

founded on a judicial act which is null and whose nullity has not been remedied in accordance Cf. Can. 1622, 5°: "A judgement is null with a nullity which is simply remediable, if it is

without the judicial plea mentioned in can. 1501, or was not brought against some party as Cf. Can. 1620, 4°: "A judgement is null with an irremediable nullity, if the trial took place

Cf. E. DI BERNARDO, "Il nomen iuris tribuere", in Quaderni Dello Studio Rotale 21 (2011). parti e del tutto ignorato da queste ultime nonche dal difensore del vincolo rappresenta dubbio e pronuncia giudiziale'. Essa è insanabilmente nulla perché emessa extra petita, con indubitabilmente 'la più grave espressione della violazione del principio di corrispondenza tra 122: "Pertanto una sentenza emanata su un capo mai legittimamente concordato su istanza delle

nullitatis mutare audeat, haud dubie ius defensionis graviter laedit"; Coram Bruno, 21 June cum ita, Iudex, qui suo arbitrio tempore proferendae sententiae causam petendi, id est caput Cf. Coram STANKIEWICZ, 14 June 1980, in Diritto Ecclesiastico 91 (1980), 195, n. 13: "Quae violazione del diritto di difesa." del processo matrimoniale", 49. June 1998, RRDecr., Vol. 16 (1998), 183, n. 5; C. GULLO, "Il diritto di difesa nelle varie fasi 14 (1996), 164, n. 7; Coram Burke, 22 May 1997, RRDecr., Vol. 15 (1997), 93, n. 22; lb., 4 1995, RRDecr., Vol. 13 (1995), 102, nn. 7, 10; Coram FUNGHINI, 24 July 1996, RRDecr., Vol.

Cf. Coram McKay, 26 April 2007, B.Bis 52/2007, n. 4 (Unpublished); Coram AROKIARAJ, 16 25 July 2014, B.Bis 121/2014 (Unpublished); Coram GOMES, 12 June 2015, B.Bis 83/2015 (Unpublished); Coram McKAY, 13 January 2014, B.Bis 5/2014 (Unpublished); Coram SABLE, April 2008, RRDecr., Vol. 26 (2008), 29-33; Coram GRAULICH, 13 March 2013, B.Bis 57/2013 (Unpublished); Coram McKAY, 16 July 2015, B.Bis 104/2015 (Unpublished).

<sup>102</sup> grounds of nullity at this stage. Can. 1677 of the Code of Canon Law used to consider the intends to oppose the formulation of the doubt proposed by the actor or to introduce other could jeopardize the actual exercise of the right of defence, in particular for the respondent who Cf. L. SABBARESE, Canon Law. An Overview, 268: "The disappearance of the joinder of issue possibility of the joinder of issue in §2 and the chance to challenge the decree within in ten days

<sup>&</sup>lt;u></u> Cf. Ibid.; M. DEL POZZO, "L'impatto della riforma sul diritto processuale vigente", 60-61: "L'atteggiamento dialogico e di confronto [...] sembra limitato all'impostazione del giudizio,

process. 104 is a subjective right of the parties and also an essential element of the structure of the

of defence at this stage is completely ruled out, though it may seem to undermine the respond."105 Therefore, we cannot also conclusively state that the provision for the right be convened by the judge so as to agree on the questions to which the judgement must However, based on the general norm can. 1691 §3 and RP art. 6, the provision of can. involved. 106 right course of necessary action to adequately protect the right of defence of the parties 1513 §2 remains in force according to which "in more difficult cases, the parties are to

# 3.7 The Judge Changing the Formula of Doubt

According to can. 1514 and DC art. 136, a judge is prohibited from changing the formula of doubt ex officio once it is fixed and notified. They can, however, be validly altered if the following conditions are met: 1) the existence of a grave reason; 2) the party on the part of the judge (cf. can. 1514; DC art. 136). the defender of the bond and the promoter of justice; and 3) the hearing of the other request for change on the part of one of the parties, i.e., the plaintiff or the respondent,

According to the new can. 1676 §1, the judicial vicar fixes the ground and forms the constitution of the tribunal with the judge/judges and other officials. As a result, the that they disagree with the judicial vicar's choice of grounds. Anticipating such a judges, after being handed the case with a ground fixed by the judicial vicar, may find

Cf. F. Daneels, "Uno studio di Ann Jacobs sul diritto di difesa nelle cause di nullità anima ogni momento della vita del diritto", ma non di esse meccanicamente, succube"; J.P. "sempre una risposta, non raramente tormentata e traumatica, alla tensione fondamentale che correttezza delle metodologie processuali utilizzate, le quali, lungi da essere un puro ed astratto la semplificazione procedimentale comporta invece la soppressione della richiesta dell'eventuale udienza di concordanza del dubbio. Viene così sottratta all'ambito dispositivo la BEAL, "Mitis Iudex Canons 1671-1682, 1688-1692: A Commentary", 467-538. formalismo, ma bisognose di integrarsi con altri e speculari aspetti di giustizia, costituiscono di giungere ad una decisione giusta, il più possibile partecipata e condivisa legate alla soggettivo dei coniugi che un elemento essenziale della struttura del processo', e le probabilità proteggere il diritto di difesa delle parti, in entrambe le sfere giuridiche, che è 'sia un diritto appartenere ad irrilevanti esercizi di stile quanto, piuttosto, urtare con parametri finalizzati a processi canonici di nullità matrimoniale. Dinamiche interne e proiezioni esterne nel 'Mitis è prevista neppure ex officio"; F.S. REA, Delibazione di sentenze ecclesiastiche e riforma dei Iudex Dominus Iesus' alla luce del 'giusto processo', 97-98: "Un tale vulnus non pare discussione orale circa estremi e contenuto dell'accertamento. La convocazione delle parti non

105

2

106 Cf. B.N. Ejeh, "Mitis Iudex Dominus Iesus': obbiettivi, novità e alcune questioni", 39; F.S. Can. 1513 §2: "In causis autem difficilioribus partes convocandae sunt a iudice ad dubium vel dubia concordanda, quibus in sententia respondendum sit."

matrimoniale. Dinamiche interne e proiezioni esterne nel 'Mitis Iudex Dominus Iesus' alla luce REA, Delibazione di sentenze ecclesiastiche e riforma dei processi canonici di nullità

> established by the judicial vicar. On 26 November 2015, the Council replied privately. for Legislative Text whether a judge once constituted, was free to change the grounds disagreement, a judicial vicar from the United States inquired of the Pontifical Council The response reads:

cann. 1513 and 1514 regard the ordinary contentious trial and are not affected by the judicial vicar decrees the initial doubt in marriage nullity cases, the faculty of the changes in the canons concerning special process, i.e., marriage cases. While the judge to change the doubt or add another is not affected by the modification While the new can. 1676 regards cases for the declaration of nullity of marriage, introduced with the MP Mitis Iudex. 107

protected the right of defence of the party. If the judge changes or adds to the ground do so validly after hearing the party or at the request of the party for grave reasons. defence in accord with the norm of can. 1620, 7° (cf. DC art. 270, 7°). 109 sentence based on the ground extra vel ultra petita in accord with the norm of can. without the consent or the knowledge of the party, it will lead to the nullity of the the essential requirement of hearing the party before making the change, and thus another ground in the course of the trial. Therefore, the clarification has emphasized Therefore, the judge is to consult the party if he wants to change the ground or add Therefore, although the judge can change the grounds in the course of the trial, he can 1620, 4° (cf. DC art. 270, 4°)108 and due to the violation of the respondent's right of

107

N. 15210/2015 (unpublished); cf. J.P. BEAL, "The Ordinary Process According to Mitis Iudex: PONTIFICIUM CONSILIUM DE LEGUM TEXTIBUS, Private Response (26 November 2015), Prot.

the judicial plea mentioned in can. 1501, or was not brought against some party as respondent"; Can. 1620, 4°: "A judgement is null with an irremediable nullity, if the trial took place without Challenges to Our 'Comfort Zone", 181. Cf. Coram Arokiarai, 16 April 2008, 31, n. 4. "Itaque si iudicium factum est sine legitma iudiciali petitione, sententia nullitate insanabili infecta declaranda est."

canonistico Gallipoli - Settembre, 1987 (Studi Giuridici 18), LEV, Vatican City 1988, 49; denied to one or other party"; Cf. C. GULLO, "Il diritto di difesa nelle varie fasi del processo allegation, present supporting or contrarty evidence or advance any arguments pertaining to the matrimoniale", in Il diritto alla difesa nell'ordinamento canonico. Atti del XIX congresso Can. 1620, 7°: "A judgement is null with an irremediable nullity, if the right of defence was the party's knowledge and such a change deprives the party of the opportunity to know the petendi. ludex, qui suo arbitrio tempore proferendae sententiae caput nullitatis mutet, haud laborat". The judgement will become null due to the change in the object of the trial without dubie ius defensionis graviter laedit; ac sententia, ob hoc violatum ius partium, nullitate Coram BURKE, 4 June 1998, 183, n. 5: "Partes enim ius habent ut audiantur de mutatione causae

## The Right to be Assisted by the Advocates

represented and assisted. 114 In order for the parties to be able to participate effectively a trial manifests itself throughout the process<sup>113</sup> and one of them entails the right to be "the right of defence primarily means the technical defence, i.e., a right to be assisted nullity cases this is one of the forms of the right of defence. 111 Dalla Torre observes, and what kinds of proofs are required. 115 Most parties do not have such knowledge. ascertaining nullity works, what grounds might be applicable to their marriage case, what effect a declaration of nullity will have in their lives, how the process for in a marriage nullity process, they need to understand what a declaration of nullity is, by advocates in the course of the trial."112 The right to defend what one has at stake in 1481). The assistance of the advocate is a precious help for the party. 110 In marriage and exercise their right of defence. 117 Therefore, the right of defence includes the right an advocate who is an expert in law116 to understand the process as well as to participate Thus, in the marriage nullity process, the plaintiff and the respondent may be aided by The CIC 1983 indicates that the Christian faithful have a right to advocacy (cf. can. (1944) of Roman Rota recalled: to legal aid through an advocate. 118 As Pius XII at the inauguration of new judicial year

in rightly determining the issue and the basis of the controversy, in bringing out Advocates assist their client in drawing up the introductory petition of the case, at the trial; what points are essential in the depositions of the witnesses; in the proofs to adduce, what documents to present; suggest what testimony to bring out the decisive points of the fact which is in issue; they indicate to the client what

Ξ 110 Cf. K.E. McKenna, For the Defence: The Work of Some Nineteenth Century American Canonists in the Protection of Rights, Wilson & Lasleur Limitée, Montrèal 2014, 6. L. SABBARESE (ed.), Sistema matrimoniale canonico in synodo, Urbaniana University Press, Vatican City 2015, 99.

119

113 112 canonico in onore di Antoni Stankiewicz (Studi Giuridici 89), Vol. 3, LEV, Vatican City 2010, in J. KOWAL – J. LLOBELL (eds), Iustitia et iudicium. Studi di diritto matrimoniale e processuale G. DALLA TORRE, "Qualche riflessione su processo canonico e principio del 'giusto processo",

14 vicenda procedurale e che deve essere presente e, se del caso, valutato nel momento decisivo Vatican City 2018, 279: "Il diritto di difesa é una realtà dinamica che si prolunga per tutta la rislessione", in Quaestiones selectae. De re matrimoniali ac processuali (Annales 6), LEV, Cf. J.M. Serrano Ruiz, "La querela di nullità della sentenza: Spunti per una rinnovata

115 Cf. W.L. DANIEL, "The Publication of the Definitive Sentence", in Studia Canonica 42 (2008),

Cf. L. ROBITAILLE, "Through the Lens of Dignitas Connubii: The Judge's Active Role in Marriage Nullity Cases", in Studia Canonica 40 (2006), 155.

116 Cf. Cann. 1481, 1677 §1 (DC art. 101 §1).

118 di nullità matrimoniale (Studi Giuridici 108), LEV, Vatican City 2014, 111; K. LODICKE-R.E. Cf. E. COLOMBO, "Avvocato: diritti e doveri nella fase istruttoria", in L'istruttoria nel processo

Cf. A. Giarda, Avviso di Procedimento e Diritto di Difesa, Giuffrè, Milan 1979, 1. JENKINS, Dignitas Connubii: Norms and Commentary, 180.

> course of the trial he helps evaluate rightly the exceptions and adverse arguments be alleged in favour of the client's contention. II9 and to refute them: in a word, he gathers and gives effect to everything that can

and arrive at justice. 120 His assistance will facilitate the exercise of the rights of the respondent and the plaintiff in exercising their right of defence, to discover the truth This implies that the advocate has a responsibility in guiding and assisting the trial be assisted with technical help in matters regarding their rights of defence. 122 defence. 121 Hence, it is the requirement of the justice that the parties in a contentious parties by removing all the obstacles, which can impede their exercise of the right of of the right of defence."123 Gullo observes, "the right of defence would be violated if a assistance by an advocate construct an essential component for the appropriate exercise Moneta observes that in contentious cases like matrimonial nullity cases, "technical Therefore, advocacy and right of defence go hand in hand. 125 the necessary qualities to serve effectively as an advocate in an ecclesiastical court."124 party was not granted an advocate whom the party chose and asked for and who had

121 Cf. G. Dalla Torre, "Qualche riflessione su processo canonico e principio del 'giusto Ecclesiae 13 (2001), 71-91.

processo", 1303.

122 123 difesa nel processo matrimoniale canonico (Studi Giuridici 72), LEV, Vatican City 2006, 87. Cf. J. Llobell, I processi matrimoniali nella chiesa, 182. P. MONETA, "Il diritto alla difesa tecnica nel processo matrimoniale canonico", in Il diritto di

124 C. GULLO, "Il diritto di difesa nelle varie fasi del processo matrimoniale", 37: "Il diritto della parte se, ad essa che lo richieda, venga negata la facoltà di costituire un patrone di fiducia, che

Cf. F.G. Morrisey, "The advocate for the Accused and the right of defence", in P. Dugan abbia i requisiti per svolgere questa funzione di fronte ai tribunali ecclesiastici." (ed.), Advocacy Vademecum, Gratianus Series, Wilson & Lasteur Limitée, Montrèal 2006, 5.

giudicare; gli indica le prove da addurre, i documenti da esibire; gli suggerisce quali testimoni PIUS XII, Allocution to the Roman Rota (2 October 1944), in AAS 36 (1944), 284: "L'avvocato processo lo aiuta a valutare giustamente le eccezioni e gli argomenti contrari e a confutarli: in siano da indurre in giudizio, quali punti nelle deposizioni dei testi siano perentori; durante il l'oggetto e il fondamento della controversia, nel mettere in rilievo i punti decisivi del fatto da sulla missione dell'avvocato nei giudizi di nullità matrimoniale", in Studi in onore di Carlo suo patrocinato"; cf. W.H. WOESTMAN (ed.), Papal Allocutions to the Roman Rota 1939-1994, una parola, raccoglie e fa valere tutto ciò che può essere allegato in favore della domanda del assiste il suo cliente nel formulare il libello introduttorio della causa, nel determinare rettamente Gullo (Annales 4), Vol. 3, LEV, Vatican City 2017, 639. Theological Publications in India, Bangalore 2003, 27; A. BRASCA, "Riflessione sul ruolo e

<sup>120</sup> Cf. J. Llobell, "Avvocati e procuratori nel processo canonico di nullità matrimoniale", in Apollinaris 61 (1998), 779-806; Id., "I patroni stabili e i diritti doveri degli avvocati", in Ius

#### Conclusion

The ius vigens protects the rights of all the faithful in the process. Can. 221 states:

Can. 221 §§1-2 read:

- Church before the competent ecclesiastical forum in accordance with the law. §1 Christ's faithful may lawfully vindicate and defend the rights they enjoy in the
- to be applied with equity. authority, they have the right to be judged according to the provisions of the law, §2 If any members of Christ's faithful are summoned to trial by the competent

will not only the licitness but also the validity of marriage. They are so sacrosanct that values it upholds, such as the right of defence. Any violation or denial of these rights as an empty legal formality, but should be seen from the significant and phenomenal provisions in the introductory phase of matrimonial nullity cases should not be treated foster the effective functioning of tribunals and administering justice. The procedural these provisions for the exercise of the rights of the parties which will help in turn trial. Ignorantia iudicis, calamitas innocentis. The well-informed judge must apply be apprised of these canonical provisions for the various rights and use them during the process and we have shed light on them in this article. The tribunal personnel should The Code envisages several rights for the parties in the introductory phase of the the judge cannot take them for granted and neglect them.